Academies Pre-16 Funding: Options for the 2012/13 Academic Year

Consultation Response Form

The closing date for this consultation is: 25 May 2011

Your comments must reach us by that date.



THIS FORM IS NOT INTERACTIVE. If you wish to respond electronically please use the online response facility available on the Department for Education e-consultation website (http://www.education.gov.uk/consultations).

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be subject to publication or disclosure in accordance with the access to information regimes, primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Data Protection Act 1998.

If you want all, or any part, of your response to be treated as confidential, please explain why you consider it to be confidential.

If a request for disclosure of the information you have provided is received, your explanation about why you consider it to be confidential will be taken into account, but no assurance can be given that confidentiality can be maintained. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

The Department will process your personal data (name and address and any other identifying material) in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998, and in the majority of circumstances, this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

Please tick if you want us Reason for confidentiality:	s to keep your response confidential.
Name	Elizabeth Williams
Organisation (if applicable)	Wiltshire Schools Forum
Address:	c/o Wiltshire Council County Hall Bythesea Road

Trowbridge

Wiltshire BA14 8JB

If you have an enquiry related to the policy content of the consultation you can contact either:

Annie Raw (telephone: 020 7340 8143) or Victoria Ismail (telephone: 020 7783 8682)

e-mail: AcademiesFunding.CONSULTATION@education.gsi.gov.uk

If you have a query relating to the consultation process you can contact the Consultation Unit by telephone: 0370 000 2288 or e-mail: consultation.unit@education.gsi.gov.uk

Please mark ONE box that best describes you as a respondent

Academy	School applying for academy status	Maintained School
Academy Sponsor	X Schools Forum	Campaign Group
Union/Professional Body	Parent/Carer	Governor Association
Local Authority	Other	
Please Specify:		

1 Do you agree with fund an increasing section 2 in the con	number of Aca	demies in a	•		•
X Yes	No		Not St	ure	
Comments:					
The current system staff and there is o		•	ient for botl	n the DfE	and for LA
The funding of Academy may not formula, meaning neighbouring school	be reflective or academies are	f local condi not funded	tions and o	of the local stent basi	funding s with
The current funding on an ever decrease	• .	t sustainable	e as LACSI	EG adjust	ments will be
2 Do you agree with Academies in 2012					•
X All Not Sure		Som	e		None
Comments:					

3 Are there other aims we absence of cross-system rare they?				
X Yes	No	Not	Sure	
Comments:				
Savings and efficiencies burden of the funding sys budgets for academies, v formula at DfE level and	stem. This coulon	d go as far as uce the need	s requiring LAs I for replication	s to calculate n of the
4 Do you agree with the brasection 4 in the consultation	•	how each or	otion might wo	rk? <i>(see</i>
All Not Sure	X	Some	N	one
Commonto				
Roll Forward – this meth from the previous year's method is that it is simple budgets for academies w potential disadvantage is that of maintained school 2010/11 formula, meanin schools.	budgets, prior to e and easy to ex hich is importar that academy f s in the same a	o MFG applic cplain. It also nt in an interinunding become urea because	cation. The be o minimises tur m funding regi mes further rea it will still be b	rnefit of this rbulence in me. A moved from pased on the
Fair Funding Formula for academies. This wou and is a potential way of current number of academierms of numbers and ne	ld mean acade trialling a fair fu mies may not re	mies are fund nding formula eflect the ove	ded in a consis a. A risk may rall school pop	stent way be that the oulation in

secondary academies than primary academies. An extensive consultation would still be required with all schools to develop a fair funding formula for all schools – which may cause further turbulence for academies with successive changes in funding regime.

Local Authority Based Calculations - this would involve LAs calculating academy budgets based on their current formulae. The advantage of this option would be to remove the lagging from the current system and reduce the bureaucracy of needing to replicate LA formulae at DfE level. There is little implication for LAs in this option as authorities already calculate budgets for academies in order to determine the recoupment amount from the DSG settlement. It could be argued that this option would result in academies being more reliant on the LA and its formula although a counter argument to this could be that it creates a level playing field between schools in an area and could reflect local circumstances more consistently.

We disagree that this would mean academies would receive later notification of their funding than they currently do, because in practice converting academies have not yet received notification of indicative budgets for 2011/12.

5 Which option do you think is the best way of funding Academies in

2012/13? (see section 4 in the consultation document)

Roll Fair funding formula for Academies only

Not sure

Comments:

LAs already carry out the calculation and this would be the method with the least administrative burden.

6 Are there potential advantages and disadvantages in implementing each option that we have not considered? If yes, what are they?

X Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			
_		the roll forward option is impleme air funding formula is implemente	
•	alent Grant (LACS)	ld consider to the way the Local A EG) is calculated for FY2012/13? Insultation document)	•
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			
The current LACSE particular service.	G methodology do	es not reflect the need or usage	of a
		G has already been taken in to a could not be changed.	ccount in
Would a straight % the LACSEG?	deduction be a mo	ore straightforward method of cald	culating

8 What factors would you want us to take into consideration if we were to make changes?

Comments:
Keep the interim model simple and ensure a quick move towards a national formula
Torrida
9 Have you any further comments?
Comments:
The views from the Wiltshire Schools Forum in this response reflect the views of both academies and maintained schools in Wiltshire.
This response also reflects the views of Wiltshire Council and is a joint response.

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to acknowledge individual responses unless you place an 'X' in the box below.
Please acknowledge this reply
Here at the Department for Education we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be alright if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?
Yes No

All DfE public consultations are required to conform to the following criteria within the Government Code of Practice on Consultation:

Criterion 1: Formal consultation should take place at a stage when there is scope to influence the policy outcome.

Criterion 2: Consultations should normally last for at least 12 weeks with consideration given to longer timescales where feasible and sensible.

Criterion 3: Consultation documents should be clear about the consultation process, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals.

Criterion 4: Consultation exercises should be designed to be accessible to, and clearly targeted at, those people the exercise is intended to reach.

Criterion 5: Keeping the burden of consultation to a minimum is essential if consultations are to be effective and if consultees' buy-in to the process is to be obtained.

Criterion 6: Consultation responses should be analysed carefully and clear feedback should be provided to participants following the consultation.

Criterion 7: Officials running consultations should seek guidance in how to run an effective consultation exercise and share what they have learned from the experience.

If you have any comments on how DfE consultations are conducted, please contact Donna Harrison, DfE Consultation Co-ordinator, tel: 01928 738212 / email: donna.harrison@education.gsi.gov.uk

Thank you for taking time to respond to this consultation.

Completed questionnaires and other responses should be sent to the address shown below by 25 May 2011

Send by post to: Annie Raw, Academy Funding and Finance Team, Department for Education, Level 3, Sanctuary Buildings, Great Smith Street, London SW1P 3BT.

Send by e-mail to: AcademiesFunding.CONSULTATION@education.gsi.gov.uk